

REMARKS


The Examiner rejected claims 1-36 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuno. The claims of the above entitled application all recite displaying a video image at two different remote stations. Kuno does not disclose this limitation. Kuno clearly only contains one remote station. A station is shown schematically in Figures 1 and 2B, and illustrated in Figure 5 of Kuno. Kuno does not disclose two remote stations as required by the claims. If the Examiner is to maintain this rejection, the Applicant requests that the Examiner explicitly identify the elements in Kuno which correspond to a first remote station and a second remote station.

In view of the above it is submitted that the claims are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the rejection is requested. Allowance of claims 1 - 36 at an early date is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

IRELL & MANELLA LLP


Dated: November 30, 2005


Ben Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609

840 Newport Center Drive
Suite 400
Newport Beach, CA 92660
Telephone: (949) 760-0991

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the U.S. Mail, First Class, addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450, on November 30, 2005.


Susan Langworthy Date Nov 30, 2005